

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,340	02/26/2004	Toshio Sada	04054CIP/HG	9078
1933 7590 06/01/2007 FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 220 Fifth Avenue			EXAMINER	
			BETTON, T	BETTON, TIMOTHY E
16TH Floor NEW YORK. 1	NY 10001-7708		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>-/</del>			
	10/789,340	SADA ET AL.	1. 16. 19. 1150·1636 任務			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Timothy E. Betton	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this commit BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·				
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the applicatio	on.		· A SEPP YOU HER COM			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	1					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	• • • •	<u> </u>				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	• •		I.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	in priority under 35 U.S.C.	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ige			
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not	received.				
			,			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/789,340

Art Unit: 1614

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11 are drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising effective amounts of an angiotensin II receptor antagonist and an ACAT inhibitor as active ingredients, classified in class 514 and subclass 381. If this Group is elected then the below summarized species election is also required.
- II. Claims 12-25 are drawn to a method for the prevention or treatment of arteriosclerosis, classified in class 514 and subclass 381. If this Group is elected then the below summarized species election is also required.

The inventions are distinct, each from each other because:

Inventions I-II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806 05(h). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. For instance, the active

Application/Control Number: 10/789,340

Art Unit: 1614

ingredients of certain moieties of this specific classification of agent may also be used to palliate ocular disorders by relieving aqueous/vitreous pressure.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-11, 13, 15-20, and 22-25 are generic.

Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/789,340

Art Unit: 1614

Should applicants' traverse on grounds that the species are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is so. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

### Election of Species for Group I and Group II

Applicants' are required to elect one exact and specific angiotensin II receptor antagonist, i.e., either 1) irbesartan 2) valsartan 3) candesartan or 4) telmesartan form 5) losartan, or 6) olmesartan. Alternately, however, applicants' may elect a generic undefined angiotensin II receptor as included in generic claim 1, but not cited in the instant claims specifically.

Also, applicants' are required to elect one exact and specific ACAT inhibitor, wherein the ACAT inhibitor is: 1) FR- 129169, 2) CI- 1011, 3) F- 1394, 4) F-12511, 5) T-2591, 6) FCE-28654, 7) K-I0085, 8) HL-004, 9) NTE-122, 10) FR-186054, 11) N- (1-octyl-5-carboxymethyl-4, 6-dimethylindolin-7-yl)-2,2-dimethylpropaneamide, or 12) N- (1-pentyl-4, 6-dimethylindolin-7-yl)-2,2-dimethylpropaneamide or a 13) pharmacologically acceptable salt thereof. Alternately, however, applicants' may elect a generic undefined ACAT inhibitor as included in generic claim 1, but not cited in instant claims 5-10 specifically.

Instant claim 9 is drawn to a combination formulation for an angiotensin II receptor antagonist and ACAT inhibitor. If applicant elects said combination formulation,

Art Unit: 1614

there must be the election of one specifically defined unit combination, i.e., two antagonist/one inhibitor; one antagonist/ two inhibitors; two antagonists/ two inhibitors, etc. or pharmaceutically accepted salt thereof. Applicant must elect one combination, wherein all components are specifically named.

Instant claims 12-25 (Group II) are drawn to a method for the prevention or treatment. The species contained in instant claims 13,16-18, 20, and 23-25 are drawn to the required species election for Group I, i.e., elect one specific angiotensin II receptor antagonist and/or ACAT inhibitor or a specifically defined combination thereof.

## Election/Restrictions Proper

MPEP §809.02(d) states "[w]here only generic claims are presented, no restriction can be required except in those applications where the generic claims recite such a multiplicity of species that an unduly extensive and burdensome search is necessary." In this instant case, the claims cited are of such a multiplicity of species that an unduly extensive and burdensome search would be necessary if all of the claimed species were to be examined together.

The present claims are directed toward a polymer composition comprising a linear biocompatible polymer. Present claim 1 and claims dependent from claim 1 for example disclose various pharmaceutical compositions comprising effective amounts of an angiotensin II receptor antagonist and/or ACAT inhibitors and various combinations thereof in conjunction with the practicing steps and processes for the use thereof. It

Application/Control Number: 10/789,340 Page 6

Art Unit: 1614

would present a serious search burden to the Examiner due to the multiplicity of varying susceptibilities, properties and distinct characteristics, if all claimed species were searched together.

## Rejoinder

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i). The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1614

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy E. Betton whose telephone number is (571) 272-9922. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30a - 5:00p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TEB